



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	2013_AJEA_6972
Title of the Manuscript:	Performance of West African Dwarf (WAD) Goats fed N-source treated and forage supplemented Cassava peels in Humid Cross River State, Nigeria
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	▪ <i>The manuscript is technically sound.</i> ▪ <i>Simple and adaptable methodology in rural animal husbandry.</i> ▪ <i>Discussion is supported by data.</i> <i>Referencing is adequate</i>	I agree with the reviewer's comment and have effected the corrections.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	○ <i>Line 3. N-treated source to replace N-source treated</i> ○ <i>Line 9&10. N-treated source to replace N-source treated</i> ○ <i>Line21. are to replace will be</i>	I agree with the reviewer's comment and have effected the corrections.



SDI Review Form 1.6

	○ Line 62. <i>against</i> to replace <i>for possible attacks</i> ○ Line 65. Remove <i>them</i> ○ Line 92. <i>goat</i> to replace <i>type</i> Line 147. <i>Need</i> to replace <i>needs</i>	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	<i>My suggestion to replace N-source treated with N-treated source is arguable.</i>	I agree with the reviewer's comment and have effected the corrections.